



Role of Laparoscopic Splenectomy in Massive and Supramassive Splenomegaly

Mohammad Kermansaravi^{1,2*}, Foolad Eghbali^{1,2} and Abdolreza Pazouki^{1,2}

¹Minimally Invasive Surgery Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Iran

²Center of Excellence of European Branch of International Federation for Surgery of Obesity, Iran

Abstract

Laparoscopic splenectomy that was first introduced in 1991, with new advances in laparoscopic techniques, technologies and surgeon's experience, has become the procedure of choice for splenectomy even in massive and supramassive spleens, although there are some controversies in few circumstances.

Keywords: Laparoscopic splenectomy; Splenomegaly; Massive; Supramassive

Introduction

Laparoscopic Splenectomy (LS) that was first time described by Delaitre and Maignien in 1991 [1,2], becomes the surgical procedure of choice for splenectomy in normal to moderate size spleens [1,3,4], but is on debate in massive and supramassive splenomegaly [2,3].

Definitions

Some references define maximal spleen diameter greater than 15 cm and 20 cm as splenomegaly and massive splenomegaly respectively [3]. Also splenic craniocaudal length greater than 17 cm and 22 cm and splenic weight above 600 gr and 1600 gr are defined as massive and supramassive splenomegaly sequentially [5].

Indications

Now-a-days LS is procedure of choice not only for benign diseases such as Idiopathic Thrombocytopenic Purpura (ITP), Autoimmune Hemolytic Anemia (AIHA), Hereditary Spherocytosis (HS), Sickle Cell Anemia (SCA) complications and Pyruvate Kinase Deficiency (PKD), but also in malignant hematologic diseases such as Non-Hodgkin' lymphoma and leukemia [2-8].

Contraindications and Limitations

Although there are no absolute contraindications for LS, but European Association for Endoscopic Surgery (EAES) consider uncorrected severe coagulopathy, splenic maximal diameter greater than 27 cm and Portal Hypertension (PH) secondary to cirrhosis as contraindications [8]. Splenic weight above 2000 gr and maximal splenic diameter >23 cm also are considered as relative contraindications for LS [2]. In massive and supramassive spleens, the smaller working space after sufficient pneumoperitoneum, the increased risk of intraoperative bleeding, conversion to Open Splenectomy (OS), more difficulty in specimen retrieval and longer operation time are also some limitations [6,9] and so some references recommend to convert to Hand Assisted Laparoscopic Surgery (HALS) in these situations [2]. A recent study shows that some factors such as older age (especially over 60 yr), male gender, malignant pathology, spleen weight above 1000 gr are associated with more difficult LS and higher probability for conversion to OS [10].

Advantages of Laparoscopy

Different studies demonstrated that LS in experienced hands, even for massive and supramassive spleens are safe and feasible [2,11,12] and also show that experience of surgeon is more important than spleen size for LS success [3-5,7,11,12]. Also it is proven that LS has some significant advantages to HALS and OS, such as shorter hospital stay, faster recovery, less intraoperative bleeding and need for transfusion, earlier starting of oral feeding, less postoperative pain, less complications and better Quality Of Life (QOL) [3,5,12-14]. One study shows the efficacy and safety of LS in splenomegaly

OPEN ACCESS

*Correspondence:

Mohammad Kermansaravi, Minimally Invasive Surgery Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Hazrat-e-Rasool Hospital, Shahid Mansouri Ave, Niyayesh St. Sattarkhan St, Setayesh Street No. 98, Tehran, Iran, Tel: 009866555448; Fax: +98-21-66501113;

E-mail: mkermansaravi@yahoo.com

Received Date: 20 Nov 2017

Accepted Date: 12 Dec 2017

Published Date: 20 Dec 2017

Citation:

Kermansaravi M, Eghbali F, Pazouki A. Role of Laparoscopic Splenectomy in Massive and Supramassive Splenomegaly. *Clin Surg*. 2017; 2: 1834.

Copyright © 2017 Mohammad Kermansaravi. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

and hypersplenism secondary to PH and liver cirrhosis [15].

Lap Splenectomy in Children

Some studies confirm the safety and feasibility of LS in children with massive splenomegaly and also its superiority on HALS and OS [9,14].

Conclusion

Recent evidences support LS as the procedure of choice in massive and supramassive spleens in any ages, any sizes and any pathology especially by experienced laparoscopic surgeon.

References

1. Trelles N, Gagner M, Pomp A, Parikh M. Laparoscopic splenectomy for massive splenomegaly: technical aspects of initial ligation of splenic artery and extraction without hand-assisted technique. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A*. 2008;18(3):391-5.
2. Misiakos EP, Bagias G, Liakakos T, Machairas A. Laparoscopic splenectomy: Current concepts. *World J Gastrointest Endosc*. 2017;9(9):428-37.
3. Tsamalaizde L, Stauffer JA, Permenter SL, Asbun HJ. Laparoscopic Splenectomy for Massive Splenomegaly: Does Size Matter? *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A*. 2017;27(10):1009-14.
4. Tan J, Chu Y, Tan Y, Dong J. Stapleless laparoscopic splenectomy with individual vessel dissection in patients with splenomegaly. *World J Surg*. 2013;37(10):2300-5.
5. Koshenkov VP, Németh ZH, Carter MS. Laparoscopic splenectomy: outcome and efficacy for massive and supramassive spleens. *Am J Surg*. 2012;203(4):517-22.
6. Su CH, Yin TC, Huang CJ, Fan WC, Hsieh JS. Laparoscopic splenectomy for splenomegaly using a homemade retrieval BAG. *Videochir other Malo-invasive Techniques*. 2013;8(4):327-33.
7. Somasundaram SK, Massey L, Gooch D, Reed J, Menzies D. Laparoscopic splenectomy is emerging 'gold standard' treatment even for massive spleens. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl*. 2015;97(5):345-8.
8. Habermalz B, Sauerland S, Decker G, Delaitre B, Gigot JF, Leandros E, et al. Laparoscopic splenectomy: the clinical practice guidelines of the European Association for Endoscopic Surgery (EAES). *Surg Endosc*. 2008;22(4):821-48.
9. Zhu J, Ye H, Wang Y, Zhao T, Zhu Y, Xie Z, et al. Laparoscopic versus open pediatric splenectomy for massive splenomegaly. *Surg Innov*. 2011;18(4):349-53.
10. Gonçalves D, Morais M, Costa-Pinho A, Bessa-Melo R, Graça L, Costa-Maia J. Validation of a Difficulty Grading Score in Laparoscopic Splenectomy. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A*. 2017.
11. Nyilas Á, Paszt A, Simonka Z, Ábrahám S, Borda B, Mán E, et al. Laparoscopic splenectomy is a safe method in cases of extremely large spleens. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A*. 2015;25(3):212-6.
12. Grahn SW, Alvarez J, Kirkwood K. Trends in laparoscopic splenectomy for massive splenomegaly. *Arch Surg*. 2006;141(8):755-61.
13. Zhou J, Wu Z, Cai Y, Wang Y, Peng B. The feasibility and safety of laparoscopic splenectomy for massive splenomegaly: a comparative study. *J Surg Res*. 2011;171(1):e55-60.
14. Hassan ME, Al Ali K. Massive splenomegaly in children: laparoscopic versus open splenectomy. *JLS*. 2014;18(3).
15. Bo W, He-Shui W, Guo-Bin W, Kai-Xiong T. Laparoscopy splenectomy for massive splenomegaly. *Journal of Investigative Surgery*. 2013;26(3):154-7.