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Abstract

Introduction: Ingestion of foreign body occurs commonly, though the majority passes through the gastrointestinal tract uneventfully, rarely causing a perforation. We report a rare case of appendiceal perforation secondary to a fish bone (FB).

Material and Methods: A 46-year-old male presented with one-week history of Right Lower Quadrant (RLQ) pain that radiated to the ipsilateral thigh and genital. His vital signs were within normal limits. On physical examination the abdomen was mildly distended with localized RLQ pain, without guarding or peritoneal signs. The white blood count was 9.21 K/UL. A computed-tomography revealed inflammatory vascular changes in the anteromedial aspect of the cecum, leading into the base of the appendix. It was thought that this represented the appendicular artery, supporting the diagnosis of vasculitis and/or early appendicitis, though the appendix was not dilated. The patient was admitted for observation, made NPO, started on intravenous fluids, and broad-spectrum antibiotics.

Results and Discussion: Because of the persistence of pain, the decision was made to take him to the operating room for a laparoscopic appendectomy. Once pneumoperitoneum was obtained, the appendix was taken down from the anterior abdominal wall, where a FB was protruding and had caused the appendix to adhere. The extra luminal FB was removed, and a standard laparoscopic appendectomy was performed.

Conclusion: FB ingestion is uncommon, and rarely causes perforations. Nonetheless, in cases of atypical presentation, this should be considered as a potential cause of abdominal pain and appendix perforation. Appendix perforation secondary to FB can be managed with a laparoscopic approach.
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Introduction

Ingesting a Foreign Body (FB) while eating is relatively common, most passing through the gastrointestinal tract uneventfully, with a low probability of perforating the gastrointestinal tract [1]. The bowel injury typically occurs in areas of bowel angulation, being the common the terminal ileum, a hernia sac or Meckel’s diverticulum [2,3]. Conversely, perforation of the appendix due to an ingested fish bone is a rare event, and to the authors’ knowledge this was last reported in 1967 [4]. Diagnosis of this condition is problematic as a definitive identification is difficult even with the assistance of imaging techniques, such as computer tomography. This complicates preoperative diagnoses, often pointing toward acute appendicitis, as the inflammation of the appendix is frequently secondary to the presence of a fish bone [5,6]. We report an appendix perforation by an ingested fish bone found after imaging suspicion of vasculitis with persistent Right Lower Quadrant (RLQ) abdominal pain, patient was scheduled for a laparoscopic appendectomy.

Case Presentation

A 46-year-old male with a body mass index (BMI) of 31.89 kg/m², with history of nephrolithiasis and without prior surgeries presented to our institution with right lower quadrant pain. Initial symptoms started one-week prior to admission, the pain started in the right lower quadrant and radiated to the ipsilateral thigh, scrotum and penis. The patient denied having any other symptoms. His vital signs upon admission were unremarkable. On physical examination his abdomen was soft, mildly distended with localized RLQ tenderness, positive Rovsing’s sign, and negative obturator and psoas sign, without evidence of masses, organomegaly, guarding, or peritoneal signs. Complete blood count revealed a normal white blood count of 9.21 K/UL. Basic metabolic panel
and liver function test were normal. An abdominopelvic computed tomography scan showed a mild right basilar bronchiectasis with fibrosis in the medial basal aspect of the right lower lobe, fatty infiltration of the liver, and inflammatory changes were noted along the vascular structure that travels adjacent to the anteromedial aspect of the cecum, leading into the base of the appendix. It was noted that this represented the appendicular artery, supporting for vasculitis and/or early appendicitis, though the appendix was not dilated and measured 6.7 mm in caliber (Figure 1). Given these findings the patient was admitted to the surgical floor for observation, made NPO, and was started on intravenous fluids and broad-spectrum antibiotics. In spite of normal laboratory results, the patient did not improve; thus the decision was made to take him to the operating room for a laparoscopic appendectomy.

Once the abdomen was accessed and the remaining ports were placed, the appendix was adhered to the abdominal wall and covered with omentum, where the fish bone was protruding (Figure 2). Using a bowel grasper, this was removed, followed by an appendectomy. His operative course was uneventful; he was discharged home on postoperative Day 1. The pathology report was consistent with appendiceal mild follicular lymph hyperplasia. He had a normal postoperative course.

**Discussion**

The diagnosis of a perforation in the gastrointestinal tract often proves to be complicated. As the event often presents itself with secondary appendicitis, it is not until the surgery is underway that the presence of a foreign body is observed [5,6]. Moreover, not being able to rely on imaging, together with the presence of a large number of different symptoms can lead to an incorrect diagnosis, as it was in this case. This is the first reported case of a puncture of the appendix by a fishbone in almost fifty years [4]. Sharp objects such as foreign bodies will most likely puncture sites with serious angulations, such as the terminal ileum, ileocecal junction and the flexures of the colon [3]. Given the low probability of a fish bone perforation and the even lower probability of this perforating the appendix.

Computed tomography was a low sensitivity in detecting fish bones; usually the perforation can appear as localized fatty infiltration, segmental wall thickening, localized pneumoperitoneum or associated intestinal obstruction [7,8]. The only way to get to a definitive diagnosis would be by identifying the calcified bone, and that would depend on the degree of calcification, which varies with the species of fish [8,9]. Our patient’s computed tomography revealed a linear hyperdense structure that the radiologist believed to be the appendiceal artery; however, in retrospect this was a calcified fish bone. In contrast with metallic foreign bodies, which can easily be seen in an x-ray, non-metallic foreign bodies are much less memorable, and imaging can be of no assistance [3].

The most suitable course of action in these situations has been focused on in the literature. Overall, there is insufficient evidence provided in the literature to recommend the use of non-operative management in foreign body induced perforations. Laparoscopic approaches to the presence of foreign bodies have been reported on several occasions and have proven to be very effective [10]. This approach allows for proper inspection of the peritoneal cavity, while protecting the surgeon from injury and in most cases demonstrating uneventful postoperative recovery.

**Conclusion**

Foreign bodies rarely cause intestinal perforations; even rarer is an appendix perforation. Diagnostic laparoscopy remains the first choice in cases of RLQ pain of uncertain diagnosis.
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